

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/675,377 Examiner Scott Christensen	Applicant(s) KARAOGUZ ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 5px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 10%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 5px;">2444</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		2444	
Art Unit						
2444						

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____
 (1) Scott Christensen. (3) _____.
 (2) Michael T. Cruz (Reg. No. 44,636). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
NA

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The proposed Examiner Amendments as approved by SPE William Vaughn were presented to Michael T. Cruz. The amendments, as attached, were approved on 1/14/2010 by Michael T. Cruz.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444
 /Scott Christensen/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)